App. F IX-4

1927.
(Mr)

File No. 81-27

Previous References
Mr 22-26 M 8215
" 32-21 " 43 & 46

Later References
Mr 65-28 M 24

Serial Nos. 21, 49, 58
60, 62, 66, 78,
80, 88, 92, 94
96, 108, 109, 124
125.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Mr 28

SUBJECT

Continuance of the Regulation of Collecting
Toll in the City municipality, Bangalore,
Departmentally

126 To, The Presidency, Mr of
Mysore
No d 9022/22 6 28

Directed that the proposal
re the levy of toll may be resubmitted
after observing the procedure prescribed
under Sec 60 of the Mr Reg-

App. F° III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No 61 of 1927

Serial No.

21 Letter no C-1-372/27-1BG 15/9.9.27 from the Chief Comr, Bangalore City.

Submits for sanction a copy of the resolution of the Civil & Military Council approving of the continuance of the existing system of collecting Toll & departmentally drawing for the current year with the establishment already sanctioned thereby.

Draft sanctioning is sent for approval

27/9/27

OFFICE OF THE HOME MEMBER OF COUNCIL
1 OCT 1927
No. 2916

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
29 SEP 1927

Mr Chalmers

Sir Hamilton Grant

30/9

Please

Draft approved. Please see the last revenue (gross & net) under these system compared with that under the previous system prevailing. Please put up figures for 5 years

CBX
1/10/27

17 OCT. 1927

Secy—Contn. sheet

1/10

WD 6324—GPB—30.10.26—24,000

Ker Secy
1/10

1371

App F III—C

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 81 of 192

Serial No

Income from tolls by the Mysore Sandalwood Factory

1920-21		29,810
1921-22		25,252

Income from tolls by Departmental agency

	Gross	Establishment charges	Net
1922-23	Rs 40,391	6024	34,367
1923-24	" 37,902	5,948	31,954
1924-25	" 35,932	5,945	29,987
1925-26	" 57,141	5,143	51,998

Give the increase & decrease during the last 4 years

From the above figures it will be seen that the Mysore Sandalwood tolls by Departmental agency is more favourable

25/10/27

(3rd Member)

29/10

Seen. Thanks.

CBB 1/11

OFFICE OF THE TRIBUTE RECEIVER AND CONSUL 1 NOV 1927 No. 3871

DEWAN'S OFFICE Received on 3 NOV. 1927 No. 2984

2/11

4-11-27

L P Q

Is Mysore the selection of the areas not to contractor Please note the sales & realisation for 5 years for the two

Note—Quote sheet

R D 6021—3PB—30,000—31-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 81 of 1927

Serial No 13

Realisation per tolls

	Bangalore Rs	Mysore Rs
1921-22	28,250	33 44
1922-23	34,367 (exclusive of establishment)	40,6[illegible]
1923-24	31,998 - 0-0	48 728
1924-25	29,998 - 0-0	46,800
1925-26	51,998 - 0-0	45 600

Rates & Note — Submitted for orders under Ref 6 — 8/11/27 — para 12 supra — 8/11

Items of toll — Duty - Rate.

	Bangalore Rs A P	Mysore Rs A P
On every 4 wheeled carriage		
On Springs	0 - 4 - 0 ✓	0 - 6 - 0
Do - 2 or - 4	0 - 2 - 0 ✓	0 - 3 - 0
Hackney or Cart drawn by oxen, bulls, bullocks, horses, asses, or mules (Adult)	0 - 2 - 0	0 - 3 - 0
Do - - Do Half-Grown	0 - 1 - 0	0 - 1 - 6
Buffalo, Bull or Bullock, Grown	0 - 0 - 6 ✓	0 - 0 - 9
Horse over 13 hands Grown or Pulling	0 - 1 - 0 ✓	0 - 1 - 6
Horse under 13 hands - Do -	0 - 0 6 ✓	0 - 0 - 9
On every elephant	0 - 8 - 0 ✓	0 - 12 - 0
Camel	0 - 1 - 0 ✓	0 - 1 - 6
Motor Car	0 - 8 - 0 ✓	0 - 6 - 0
Motor Cycle	0 - 4 - 0 ✓	0 - 3 - 0
Pedestrian	0 - 2 - 0 ✓	0 - 1 - 6
	0 - 4 - 0 ✓	0 - 3 - 0

TARIFF — Continued

15 OCT 1927

4/11/27

W D 0321—21 B—40 3000—24 5 27

14 Clubs

1214

App F III—2] 49

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 81 of 1927

Serial No

15 The order does not seem to have issued yet Please issue & return papers

MM
1/11

49 GO No L 2932-3 dt 21 (1 27) to the Chief Engr Bangalore City & Conft Engr

Sanctions the proposal of the Rest Camp

Remarks re. Under Regn 6 paras 1 and 15 Cochin

24/11/27 N

MM
22/11

Chdeer

CHIEF SECRETARY 2 1 927

& Submitted with reference to para 11 In Mysore, the right of collecting tolls is leased annually each the year G realisations are noted on the previous sheet-

1 G Departmental management is somewhat expensive and gives room for leakage of revenue The experiment may be continued for some time in Bangalore before the extension of the system to

NOTE—Contn sheet

W I 0 21— FE—(1) 900— 1

13714 App F [II—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 81 of 1927

Serial No

Mysore or other places is considered

Hon'ble Member
Revenue -

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
24 NOV 1927
No. 4827

Revenue

AN'S OFFICE.
Received on
29 NOV 1927
Reg. No. 3576

Mr. Mahomed considers that you should
in Mysore Municipality may be consulted before
to what may have to say

Note in Bangalore rates are, in
most instances, less than what they
charge in Mysore city the duty
for the charge more.

30-11-27

Put up draft letter suggesting also
the accumulation of the rates to the maximum
proposed by the committee

23 draft as called for copy

19/12/27 (Chief Secy)

NOTE—Contn sheet

CHIEF SECY 21 DEC 1927

WD 0821— IB—60 000—24 6 7

App. [illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No [illegible] of 19[illegible]

Serial No

The classification of roads of Cochin uniform classification of conveyances & animals for the purpose

as two drafts are put up for consideration

Hon'ble Member

Final Member.

OFFICE OF THE
THE R.D. [illegible] OF COCHIN
27 DEC. 1927
No. [illegible]

24/12

5-77
29/12

58 Letter No L 3852-39 5-1 28 dt [illegible]
P. Jayaswal Final Cl.

Requires whether the Rail Cancel can agreeable to make the Rate fully based on the two enclosed classifications

59 Letter No L 3854-919 5-1 28 dt [illegible]
Dy Sec

Calls for the his opinion re adoption of Bullock Cart Rate of the Rural as Rail Survey

Sec A
31
16/1

62 [illegible] 817-27 Dy 1941/29
Statement that he is in favour of adopting the revised Schedule of Rates

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 6251—2PB—28,8.29—24-1-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 87 of 1927

Serial No	
66	C2-L 2727/27-29 dt 28/1/28 from the Bangalore States that he is in favour of ~~a Uniform Scale~~ Uniformity in the Schedule of maximum rates of tolls
78	722 dt 9/8/28 from the Kolar States that the Kolar town mal Cl have no objection to adoption the revised Schedule & pray that they may be ~~restricted~~ exempted from the restrictions imposed on thro' traffic re non levy of tolls on such traffic by the municipality.
80	1478 dt 8/8/28 from the Mysore Resident Reports that it is not desirable to adopt in toto the rates obtaining in the Bangalore city - that the mal Cl does not favour the complete adoption of the Schedule of rates of toll in Go No L&T 95-814/HB 49-25-28 dt 9 6 27
88	MB -C 1064/27-28 dt 28/8/28 from the Dc Shimoga States that he is in favour of the adopting the maximum Schedule of rates referred to.
99	Subs Reports are due from 1) the Bangalore City Mal Cl 2) Dc Mysore 3) Kadur 4) Tumkur + 5) " Chitaldrug —

Note —Contn he t

4917

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 81 of 1927

Serial No

30 As remarks have been issued a 26/8/28 proposals will be awaited upto 5/5/4/28 -

Sec 9/4/28 — Sec 4/4 26/4 — 31 4/5 MD 7/4

92 B1-742 & 12/4/28 from the Mil Com Bangalore - States that the Mil Cl do not agree to impose written time limit rules of the prevailing in the regiment

32 Submitted - Replies are received from DCs Mysore, Kadur Tumkur & Chitaldrug Draft remarks put up

Asst 18/4 — 33 DC MD 15/4

Issued

No L 6682-5 of 23/4/28 To DC Tumkur Mysore, Kadur Chitaldrug

Secs 24/4 — 34 No A 5-C 1647/27-28 & 16 4 1928 from the Deputy Commr Kolar

State that the Mil Cl of Chickballapur are good in favor of adopting new Schedule of rates & a further report will be sent about Kolar Town Mil Cl on its receipt

35 No A-3-1555 & 28th April 1928

WDO 1— 1B—0 000—A

5222
5/5

App F III-9

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 87 of 192

Serial No 95

from the Dy Commr Jammu Kmr States that Mls are levied in the Mcpl by Mcpl Cls of Jammu Tehsil check nakas halt & kanugal, that Mcpl Cl of Jammu agree to the adoption of max rates attached to the G O of 3rd June 1927, while that of Tehsil considers that the rates can be changed only if the neighbouring Mcpl Cl of Srinagar would do the other Mcpl Cls have not expressed yet The D C considers that adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates for all Mll gates whether Provincial, State Local or Municipal is desirable

34 Srinagar for perusal (Reference to the State Council has been issued on 23/28 & other reports awaited.

2/5

2/5

From

The DC Chitaldrug

letter no Ab 2559/27-28 dated 21/5/28 Stating that the Municipal Councils of Chitaldrug, Davangere & Harihar are agreeable to adopt a uniform rate of tolls and requesting to be informed as to whether any need for reducing the rate of tolls does not arise

NOTE—Contn sheet

W I G 21— 1 L—60 000—21 7

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 192 .

Serial No	
PWD	3) Submitted with reference to chief Secy orders on the receipt from the Dy Commrs Chikaballapur
Dy Z	3b) The Dy Commissioners of Bdk were asked in this office letter dt 5/1/28 to furnish their opinion after Consulting such of the rural Comdrs in the Dt as may be having Jurisdiction over the adoption of the revised schedule of the maximum rate of toll attached to the G.O of June 1927 in lieu of Schedule M of the Rural Regn
	3) Replies from the Dy Commissioners except that of the Kadur Dt have been received and the reply of the D.C Tumkur is not complete The rural Comdrs of Bangalore, in their reply
Dy G	have not furnished their opinion re the adoption of the revised schedule
	3) If approved, may we be reminded after the replies are received the

Note—Contin. Sheet.

5984

11

App F, III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 87 of 192

Serial No

Case would be worked up and submitted

Ch 25/5

38 The plans contained in Schedule II of the Third Appendix are the same as those contained in the revised schedule to the [illegible] of 5th June 1927 so also the rules under the Improvement Trust Scheme of Rangoon have been the Magistrate-rules provided for the [illegible] all cases. They are not to be in force [illegible]

part of a Note of 15/5 Flag Y

Pl V

6

Pls Cd 2.

40 [illegible] have been varied recently and the [illegible] Municipal regions [illegible] amendment of the Declarations

41 (Chapter)

28/5

42) I think the matter may be over until some experience is gained of the new schedule of properties & the Municipal [illegible] a single Regulation dealing with Port Trust & Municipal tolls [illegible]

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
31 MAY 1928
No. 1187

9991/30/5

[illegible] commencement

30/5 43 Third Member

29/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

44 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Third Members

[illegible]

WD 01714—GPB 35,000—18-4-28

12

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mk File No. 81 of 1927

Serial No

PWD

Letter no G T 1926 of 13 6 28 from the President Mul Council Mysore requesting early orders on his letter dt 7th March 1928 re the rates of Tolls leviable in the Municipalities

Hag, Ld S

The Municipal Council of Mysore forwarded a list of revised Rates of Toll

Hag F

on a reference from the SCH Calling for the opn of the two city Mul Councils in respect of enhancement of the rates of Toll in these cities ~~the Mul Council of Bangalore being handed to the level of~~ ~~prevailing in the Mysore city~~

G

The Bangalore city Mul Cl are not agreeable to adopt the rates prevailing in the Mysore city

The whole question was deferred for reasons stated in para 42 of the Notes

Now the Presidents of the Mul Mysore city Mul Cl

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPE—30,000—18-4-28

6238

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

ML File No 81 of 192 7

Serial No

has pressed for ~~orders~~ sanction to the resolution of the mal el to increase the rates of tolls

Before according sanction to these rates the mal Council should be asked to take action under sec 60 of the mal Regn calling for objections el schedule of the present rates prevailing in the Myore city as put up below

V

Ch 19/6 As the proposal involves the introduction of MM on Motor Cars (not contained in the present schedule of articles on which CM is levied by the Myore Mal el) and increase of rate is also proposed for Motor Cars the proposal has to be published under Sec 60 of the Regn & objections invited thereto and after then the proposals have to be sent up to Govt for sanction with the opinions of the Council on objections if any received.

The Mal el may perhaps be informed of the proposal as set to be done as directed in para 4 2

Ch Secy) 19/6

CHIEF SECRETARY 19 JUNE 1928

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—19-4-28

6238

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 1 of 192

Serial No

A draft reply is sub for consideration

(Secret Member)

OFFICE OF THE ... Secretariat ... Council
20 JUNE 1928
No. 12746

CSY 21/6 Issue

125

L 2028 D 23 6 28
Pol Secy
Draft that the Tribunal may be reconstituted after observing the procedure prescribed under Sec 60 of the Bdg Regn

B

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—

1934

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City, Dated 15th Sept 1927

C-1-372 of 27-28

1371

22 SEP 1927

From

T GOVINDA ROW Esq

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, CITY MUNCIPALITY

BANGALORE CITY

To

N MADHAVA RAO, Esq, B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No 1731-2/Ml 22-26-15 dated 2nd September 1926 sanctioning the continuance of the system of collecting toll by departmental agency during the year 1926-27, I have the honor to submit herewith, for sanction of Government, a copy of the Municipal Council's resolution dated 30th May 1927 approving of the continuance of the present system of collecting toll departmentally during the current year and also the marginally noted establishment originally sanctioned therefor

1 Asst Inspector	Rs 30	plus 10	or Rs 40	(2 Necessary provision to meet the charge has been made in the current year's Budget under "A II Collection 3 rents and other receipts "
1 -do-	Rs 25	plus 10	or Rs 35	
24 peons at Rs 10/- each			or 220	
allowance to				
1 Octroi Inspector	Rs 30/-			
1 Head Clerk	10/-			
3 clerks at Rs 5/-	15/-			
21 Mutsaddies at Rs 2-	42/-			
15 peons at Rs 2/-	30/-			
Total	Rs 422/-			

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments.

Honorary President

16

Proceedings of an adjourned Special General Meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Monday the 30th May 1927, at 4 P.M in the Council Chamber of the City Municipal Office.

Present

Mohamed Abbas Khan, Esq, (Hon. President.)

Councillors.

Messrs.
1. G.B.Raghavendrachar, B.A.,
2. B.L Byanna,
3. S N.A Razvi,
4. W.H Hanumanthappa,
5. V A.Krishnamurthi Modaliar, B A.,
6. G.Paramasiviah, B A.,LL.B.,
7. M.V.Kalyanpur,
8. S.Venkatarangiengar
9. S.N.Sitharama Rao,
10. C.Hayavadana Rao.

Absent.

1. Mr.G.Muniyappa,
2. Dharmaprakasa B.V Krishniengar,
3. Mr.Belur Srinivasiengar, B.A.,B.L ,
4. Mr H Bhairappa,
5. Mr N.G Sanjeeviah,
6. Mr. C.K.Hutchappa,
7. Mr.G Siddaveerappa,
8. Mr.B Abdur Rahmon, B.A ,
9. Mr.R.Gopalaswami Iyer,
10. Mr C.N.Narasinga Rao.
11. Mr.L Ramachandra Rao Sindia.
12. Rev S J Cox, M.A ,
13. Mr M Royan,
14. Mr P. Murugesam Pillay,
15. Mr P Subbarama Chetty,
16. Mr D.Venkata amiah, B.A L.T.
17. Mr D N.Vijayadeva, M.A., Barrat-law ,
18. Mr P M Basappa,
19. Mr. M C.Chicknanjundappa.

---

2 To consider the present arrangement for the collection of tolls by departmental agency for another year from 1st July 1927 with the sanctioned estimate

Resolution - S a n c t i o n e d

Sd/- Mohamed Abbas Khan,
Honorary President

"COPY"

For Municipal Commissioner

DRR

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 1371 / 18774

General and Revenue Secretariat
(G. S. S)
, the 192

Date of issue. 21-11-92

Signed by .....

Serial No 69
File No 81-92
Section GL

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter No C-1-372/92-93 dated 15th/16th September 1992 from the Asst Commr, Bangalore City, Subramanya for sanction a copy of the resolution of the Rural Council approving of the construction of the regulation of Collecting the departmentally during the current year with the originally noted establishment originally sanctioned thereon and

Order thereon—No

stating that necessary provision to meet the charges has been made in the current year's budget of the City Council.

Order

Asst Inspector on Rs 30+10 a month
- Do - 25+10 - Do
2 Peons - - 10/ each Rs

Establishment
1. Bailiff's Inspector - Rs 30 - 00
1 Head Clerk on Standing Order? - 10 00
3 Clerks on the Gazette, English Part? 50 00
21 Peons - at 2 each - 00
15 Peons translated? 2-00 00

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

If order No L2832-3

Sanctioned.

Chief Secy

16/10

Dy Secy

To the Asst Comr, Bangalore City Range
and Superintendent, City Central Jail,
Bangalore

Comptroller

Dy Secy
23/9/47

List of enclosures

opied by

Examined by

WD 1988—GPB—1,000,00—21 12-52

PROCEEDINGS OF THE VERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

9

Read —

Letter No.G-1-372/27-28 dated, the 15th/19th September 1927 from the Municipal Commissioner, Mangalore City, submitting for sanction a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council -- approving of the continuance of the system of collecting tolls departmentally during the current year with the marginally noted establishment originally sanctioned therefor and stating that necessary -- provision to meet the -- charge has been made in the current year's budget of the City Municipality

1. Assistant Inspector on	Rs.30 & 10 a month	
do	" 25 & 10 do	
22. Peons.	" 10 each do	
Allowance to		
1. Octroi Inspector	Rs.20 do.	
1. Head clerk.	Rs.10 do	
3. Clerks at	Rs 5 each do.	
21. Mutsaddies at	Rs. 8 each do	
15 peons.	Rs. 2 " do	

Order No. 2832-3/ml 81-27-49

Dated, Mangalore, the 21st November 1927.

S a n c t i o n e d.

M Venkatesaiyengar

For Chief Secretary to Government.

To Fair draft 16

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City THROUGH the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K.M.H.S.
16/3.

20

APP F VI (1)]

UBJECT

Section ML

File No

Serial No

No L 333/4

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

(459)

the *192*

To

Date of issue 7-12-27.

Signed by Mercha V. Iyengar

Dear Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly send very early a copy of the ~~latest~~ Schedule showing the rates of toll now obtaining in the Bangalore City Municipality

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

Sd

2 Enclosures Nos 5

Municipal Commr, Bangalore City

This information is required by the Chief Secretary Draft of a D.O to be sent as directed (w.paper)

6/12/27

7/12

6/12/27

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

D.O. No. A. 1 (75)/27-28
Secret

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
BANGALORE.
Dated 11th Nov. 1927

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D O. No L 3316 dated 7th instant, requesting for a copy of the - schedule showing the rates of tolls now prevalent in this Municipality, I enclose a copy of -- the same for your information

Yours sincerely,
Secretary

H Madhava Rao, Esq., B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

S c h e du l e.

Showing the rates of tolls now prevailing in the Bangalore City Municipality

V e h i c l e s

1	On every four wheeled carriage on springs	Rs 0-4-0
2.	On every two wheeled carriage on - springs.	Rs 0-2-0
3.	On every Jutka or cart drawn by -- men, bulls, bullocks, horses, or mules. (Laden)	Rs 0-4-0
4.	Do. (unladen)	Rs 0-2-0
5.	On every motor car.	Rs 0-8-0
6.	" motor cycle.	Rs 0-4-0
7	" Jinrikkha.	Rs 0-4-0.
8	" Bicycle or tricycle.	Rs 0-2-0.

Animals.

1.	On every buffalo, bull, mule or bullock. (laden)	Rs 0-0-6
2	On every horse over 13 hands.	Rs 0-1-0
3	" under 13 "	Rs 0-0-6
4.	" elephant.	Rs 0-8-0
5.	" camel.	Rs 0-1-0

SM

Municipal Commissioner

B

ad L3882-3/ Jul 81 - 27 - 28

27/5-1-28

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City,

Thro'

The President, Bangalore City
Municipality,

and

The President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a comparative statement showing the rates at which tolls are levied in Bangalore and Mysore Cities, respectively, on different classes of conveyances and animals Seeing that the conditions of the two cities are not so very different, I am to enquire whether the Municipal Council is agreeable to the rates in Mysore ---------- being raised to the level of those prevailing in Bangalore ---------- City

2 In this connection, I am also to invite your reference to G O No L 8795-814/L B 49-25-28 dated 9th June 1927, (a copy of which is herewith forwarded) containing the revised schedule of the maximum rates of toll levyable on State and district fund roads I am to request that the views of the Municipal Council may be obtained as to the desirability of adopting this schedule

3268 A
18-1-28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT

Dated Hassan, the 18th January 1928

FROM

S.Venkataramangam, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, HASSAN DISTRICT

To

M. Madhava Rao, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
Chief SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARJA.
OF MYSORE, [illegible]; BANGALORE

SIR,

With reference to your letter No L.[illegible]-91/[illegible], 81-27-62 dated 2nd January 1928, calling for an expression of my opinion as to the [illegible] of [illegible] levying tolls in municipal areas, I have the honour to state that none of the three town municipal councils in this District has established a toll-gate for levying toll in its jurisdiction.

Personally, I am of opinion that the revised schedule of minimum rate of tolls sanctioned in G.O.No.L.979-914/L.B. 49-24-28 dated 9-8-1927, may be adopted in view of schedule II of the Municipal Regulation

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

HSS

In all future communications please refer to—
No C2-L.2727/27-28.
Encs.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated Bangalore, the 28th January 1928

3534L
1-2-28

FROM G B Heblikar, Esq., B A., LL B,
Mul 81-27—66
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

31/1/28
To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B A , B.L ,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

SUBJECT—

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.3884-91/Mul 81-27-57 dated the 5th January 1928 re. the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of tolls, I have the honour to - state that I am in favour of uniformity

2 No town Municipal Council is levying any toll and as such none of them was consulted

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
(PRESENT FR.. THE STATION)

4623K
14-3-28

Office of the President,
Town Municipal Council,
Kolar, the 9th March 1928

No 722
27-28

From

The President,
Town Municipal Council,
Kolar

ME 81-27-78

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District, Kolar.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No A B c1641/27-28 dated 31-1-1928 forwarding a copy of letter No L 384-21/M1 81-27-59 dated 5th January 1928 from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore, and requesting to intimate the views of the Council in the matter of adoption of the revised maximum rates of Tolls sanctioned by Government in their Order No L 8795-814/L B 49-26-38 dated 9th June 1927, by this Municipality, I have the honour to state that the subject was discussed by the Council at their meeting held on 3-3-1928 and they resolved that they had no objection to the adoption of these revised maximum rates in this Municipality also provided that they are exempted from the restrictions imposed on through traffic i e non-levy of Tolls by the Municipality on through traffic, as otherwise the said restrictions not only affect the resources of the Municipality by a good deal but also renders the work of determining whether a vehicle stops at any place in the town or goes through, very difficult

2 The Council considered the various aspects of the question and passed the following ~~and passed the~~ following further resolution -

That in view of the foregoing reasons, the

Government

29

Government may be approached with a request that this Municipality may be exempted from the prohibition of levying tolls on through traffic

3 In these circumstances, that as even t adoption of higher rates of tolls will not improve the financial condition of the Municipal which has already become unsteady owing to several causes beyond the control of the Municipal Council, if the restriction of non-levy of tolls on through traffic is enforced I request that the Government may kindly be moved to view the matter sympathetically and to pass orders for adopting the revised rates without restrictions regarding non-levy of Toll by this Municipality on through traffic

4 A copy of the resolution of the Council is herein enclosed for kind reference

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

A K Chapparan
President
Town Municipal Council, Kolar

OFFICE OF TH
10 AR
12 AR

Dev Agc 1954 Mang
27/28

Cmg

Forwarded with compliments, to
the General Secretary to the

A K Chapparan
By Courier
9/3/28

కాలము 3-3-1928వ శనివార దినములందు లు
5- ఘంటలకి కూడిన టవర్ సభ్యులు ఈ క్రిందనివ్వబడిన వీలు టవర్ సభ్యులు కౌన్సిల్లోని ఈ క్రింది ప్రకారము ఆ రెండు నగర ఎన్నికలలో మీటింగు నిర్వహించిరి కాబట్టి-

x x x x x x

Subject 7 9-6-1927 నాటి ఉత్తరంలో 8795-814/ఎ కి 47-26 వ నాటి నంబరు కాంట్రాక్టు మేంటు ఆ రెండు దినములు మూసివేయుటకు వీలుగా మేంటు చేయుటకు ఇవ్వబడిన టెండర్లు పరిశీలించి కాంట్రాక్టు మంజూరు చేయుటకు ఇంజనీరు గారికి ఇవ్వబడినది ఆ ప్రకారం కోందు ఎన్నికలలో సెక్రటరియువారు రేలవిధముగ 5-1-1928 నాటి ఉత్తరంలో 8884-91/ఎల్ 81-27-5 నాటి నంబరులో టెండర్ పంపిరి

Resolution - ఈ కాంట్రాక్టు మేంటు ఆ రెండు దినములు నిమిత్తము రోడ్లు గుంటలన్ని 'టెకాంప్ట్' మంజూరు చేయుటకు యిష్టపడలేదు యిష్టం- ఆదరి యిట్లు టవర్ వారికి బదులిచ్చి మేంటు చేయగల్గిన గుంతలు యిట్లు టవర్ నిల్లు వరుసగా రెండు పొంతులోనూ పూడ్చుటకు సదరు సంస్థ వారికి వేసు రూ-మీటు పాగా అయ్యే అగు ఈ యిచ్చి పిల్లు యిట్లు స్వయిచ్ఛ పనివాళ్ళు వీలు అయ్యే ట్రాఫిక్ మీలలో టోల్లలో వసూలు చేయుటకు పర్మిషన్ నిమిత్తము ఈ ఆర్డరు పంపుట కొరకు ఆర్డరు పంపుట కొరకు ఆర్డరు పంపుట కొరకు ఆర్డరు పంపుట కొరకు

x x x x x x x

(Sd) A K Syed Taj Pasha
President

"Extract"
[illegible]
for President,
Municipal Council, Kolar

Newsweek
6
125

1571K

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 8th March 1928

FROM

C S KUPPUSWAMIENGAR Esq,
President City Municipal Council,
MYSORE

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
BANGALORE

SIR,

With reference to your letter No L 3882-3/M1 81-27-58 Enc 1 dated 5th January 1928 re alteration in the rates of tolls levied in this Municipality, I have the honour to forward herewith Municipal Council Resolution No 280 dated 25th February 1928 for the sanction of Government As the conditions obtaining in Mysore are somewhat different from those in Bangalore City the Municipal Council do not consider it desirable to adopt in toto the rates obtaining in Bangalore City and have accordingly modified them slightly so as to suit this Municipality The Municipal Council are also not in favour of adopting completely the schedule of rates contained in Government Order No L 8795-814-L B 49-25-28 dated 9th June 1927

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

PRESIDENT

GVN

32

Proceedings of the 17th(ordinary) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Madras, held in the Council Chamber on Saturday the 25th February 1928 at 8 a.m.

Present.

G.S.Muppanna Mudaliar, M.L.A., President.

1. [illegible], Esq., Vice-President.
2. [illegible], Esq.,
3. [illegible], Esq.,
4. [illegible], Esq.,
5. [illegible], Esq.,
6. Rajah Sultan Bahadur, Esq.,
7. S.Ramjaraj Urs, Esq.,
8. [illegible], Esq.,
9. [illegible], Esq.,
10. M.Venkatachalapathi, Esq.,
11. P.R.Pandurangam [illegible], Esq.,
12. [illegible],
13. V. [illegible],
14. Rev.Father [illegible],
15. [illegible],
16. [illegible],
17. [illegible],
18. [illegible],
19. [illegible],
20. [illegible],
21. [illegible],
22. [illegible],
23. [illegible],
24. V.A.Arumuga Mudaliar, Esq.,

Absent.

1. M.Azam Khan, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
2. A.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
3. K.G.Kannappa, Esq., B.A.,M.L.A.,
4. T.Chandrasekharaiya, Esq.,
5. (Vacant)

x x x

250. Managing Committee Resolution No.3 dated 10th February 1928 sanctioning the enhancement of tolls in the City.

Resolution- Approved

x x x

Sd/-G.S.Muppanamudgar,
President

"Copy"

President
GR

Proceedings of the Managing Committee meeting held in the Municipal Office Buildings on Friday the 10th February 1928 at 4 30 P M

---

x x x xx x

Letter No L 3882-3/M1 81-27-58 dated 5th January 1928 from the Chief Secretary to the Govt forwarding comparative statement showing the schedule of rates of toll levied in the cities of Bangalore and Mysore and requesting to take the opinion of the Council in respect of the enhancement of the rates in Mysore to the level of those in Bangalore City

Resolution

Rates may be revised as follows -

	Rs	A	P
On every motor bus	1	0	0
" " car	1	0	0
" " cycle	0	8	0
On everyfour-wheeled vehicle with springs	0	6	0
On every jatka hackery or cart laden	0	3	0
On every jatka hackery or cart not laden	0	2	0
On every other vehicle with springs and every palanquin bicycle or tricycle	0	2	0
On every buffalo bull bullock cow or ass laden or ridden and on every horse under thirteen hands and every mule	0	0	9
Or every horse not under thirteen hands	0	1	0
On every elephant	0	12	0
On every camel	0	2	0

x x x

Sd/-C S Kuppuswamiengar

President

"Copy"

President

BR

4917
2-4-28

M 3 - C 1064/27-28

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated, Shimoga the 28th March 1928

From

B T Kesavaiengar, Esquire, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

N Madhava Rao, Esquire, B A, M L,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3884-91 M1 81-27-59 dated the 5th January 1928 regarding the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of toll leviable in Municipal areas, I have the honour to state that the two Town Municipal Councils of Shimoga and Shikarpur who were consulted in the matter, are agreeable to the adoption of the maximum rates fixed in G O No L 8795-814 L B 49-25-28 dated the 9th June 1927 in lieu of those provided in schedule II of the Municipal Regulation Copies of resolutions of the said Municipal Councils in this respect are enclosed for perusal

2. The schedule of the maximum rates of toll referred to above, leviable on State and District Fund Roads, is almost exhaustive of the items on which toll may be levied and thus it meets all the requirements of the big towns in this behalf As regards the rates, the schedule only prescribes the maxima, and it does not interfere with the discretion of the individual Councils to levy such rates within the maxima as are suited to particular localities In view of these facts I agree with the opinion expressed by the said Municipal Councils and support the amendment proposed

I have the honour to, be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

Extract

T R Rudrarradhya
President

Shikaripur Taluk
30 1 28

---

* * * * *

B T Keshavamurthy.
SD/ P.Venkappaiah
President
Chairman

"EXTRACT"

L. Krishnarao
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

No. B1-742/27 28
Encl one

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City Dated 12th April 1928.

5726 L
14-4-28

From

T GOVINDA ROW Esq.,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, CITY MUNICIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

MC 81-27-92

To

C.S. 12-4-28

MADHAVA RAO, Esq, B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3882-3/M1 81-27-58 dated the 5th January 1928 calling for the views of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, as to the desirability of raising the toll rates in Bangalore to that prevailing in Mysore City etc. I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the resolution passed by the Municipal Council at their meeting

Proceedings of an adjourned meeting of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on Saturday the 17th March 1928 at 12 noon in the Council Chamber

P r e s e n t

Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan, (President)

Councillors.

~~Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan, (President.)~~

~~Councillors.~~

Mr. B.D.Naidu,
" W.H.Hanumanthappa,
" Belur Srinivasiengar,B.A.,B.L.,
Mr. P.Subbarama Chetty,
Mr.A.Nettakallappa,
Mr.B.P.Gamadachar,
Mr. S.N.M.Naqvi,
Mr. Hoshoto Bhadrappa,
Mr. C.K.Thatchappa,
Mr.G.Siddaveerappa,
" M.C.Chiknanjundappa,
Mr. M.Munisweamy,
Mr.G.B.Raghavendrachar,B.A.,
Mr.D.Gopalakrishna Rao,
15. Mr.C.N.Narasinga Rao,B.A.B.L.
16. Mr. A.Madhaviengar,B.A.,
17. Rao Bahadur Dr.C.B.Rama Rao M.D
18. Mr. N.Balakrishnia ,B.A.B.L.
19. Mr. V.Vajapey,
20. Mr.J.N.Isaac, B.A ,B. .L.,
21. Mr. Deshmukh P.Govinda Rao,
22. Capt. Y.V.Ayya,
23. Mr.Syed Abd l Khader,
24. Mr.B.Kempanna,
25. Mr. Saligram Narayana Rao,
26. Mr.D.Venkataramanappa,
27. Mr. Chisamanisawmisa.
28. Mr. M.Koyan.

A b s e n t.

Mr.S.Venkatarangiengar.

17 To consider letter o L 388 -3/21 81-27-28 dated 5th January 1928, from the Chief Secretary to Government, enquiring whether the Municipal Council is agreeable to the rates of tolls in Bangalore being raised to those prevailing in Mysore City

Resolution -- Resolved that Government be informed that Council is not agreeable to adopt in the Bangalore City the rates of tolls prevailing in the Mysore City

Sd Mohamed Abbas Khan,
President

"Copy"

For Municipal Commissioner

2

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISS
KOLAR DISTRICT.

In

No.AS.C.1647/27-28
Encls.

Dated Kolar the 16th April 1928

14/4

From

A K.Syed Taj Peeran, Esq ,B.A ,
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq.,B A.,B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore
General *Department*
Bangalore

Sir,

Re: adoption of uni orm schedule of maximum rates of toll - leviable in Municipal areas

With reference to your letter No L 3884-91/Ml 81-27-59 dated the 5th January 1928, calling for opinion on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council of Chickballapur have resolved that they are not in favour of adopting the revised schedule of rates appended to the letter under reply

2 Information from the Kolar Town Municipality has not yet been - received and it will be forwarded as soon as received

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

A.R.C.
16/4/28.

D.C 9]

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT

Dated Tumkur, 25th April ... 192

FROM

K V ANANTARAMAN, Esq. B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur

To

K Rangu Rao, Esquire, B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General .. .. *Department,*
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3884-91 M1 81-27-59 of the 5th January 1928, calling for my opinion after consulting such of the Town Municipal Councils as levy tolls, in the matter of adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of tolls leviable in Municipal areas, I have the honor to state that in this District, tolls are levied by the Municipal Councils of Tumkur, Tiptur, Chiknaikanhalli and Kunigal The Municipal Council of Tumkur have resolved that the schedule of rates attached to the Govt order of the 9th June 1927 may be adopted, while that of Tiptur consider that the rates can be changed only if the neighbouring Municipality of Arsikere would do so; the other two Municipal Councils have not sent in their replies as yet.

2. I consider that the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates for all the toll-gates whether Provincial, District Fund or Municipal - is desirable

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 27/4



× × × ×

/ Segment

G. K. Mallappa
President
15-2-28

"Copy"

G. K. Mallappa
President

Extract from the proceedings of the monthly general meeting of the Tanjore Town Municipal Council held on 18th February 1928 under the Hon'ble Rajendra Town Hall at 8 30 P.M.

present 1) Mr. S K Subbu, B.A, L.L.B,
2) " K Narayana Rao
3) " Desada Yellamaddappa
4) " Abdul Wahab Khan Sahib
5) " K Gangappa
6) " T Gurudhanabagavalu
7) " T K. Gubbal
8) " C Mallappa
9) " T Padmarajulu
10) " Sayyadiah
11) " K. Rangiah BA, LL
12) " R Venkata Rao
13) " Lakshmiah
14) The Treasury Deputation
15) The Executive Engineer

Rao Sahib H Spencer has intimated his inability to attend

The rest were absent

Subject VI Memo no A 7-15-55/27-28 dated 13th January 1928 from the Deputy Commissioner forwarding a copy of letter no L 3982-3 dated 5th January 1928 from the

the chief Secretary to the Municipal Commr.
in Mysore, Bangalore, and requesting
the municipality to furnish their views in the
matter of adopting schedule of rates to be
attached to Government order referred to above

Resolution:-

Resolved the schedule attached
to the Government order of 9th June 1927 may be
adopted in lieu of schedule I of the Municipal
Regulation

Sd/ K V Ananthan Raman
Deputy Commissioner
President Council
Commr Limbs

"Copy"
S Rajappa
for President
Council
Limbs

4/8/28

4918

Tel. Address:—Deputy,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply please refer to
No. A-6.C.559/27-28
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 28th March 1928

FROM

The *Deputy Commissioner,*
Chitaldrug District.

Rl 81-27 — 108

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
B a n g a l o r e

Sir,

With reference to your reminder No L 5913-8/81-27 dated 26th March 1928 calling for a reply on your letter No L 3884-91/81 dated 5th January 1928 re the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of toll leviable in Municipal areas &c, I have the honor to state that the opinion of the town Municipal Councils called for in the matter has been received so far only from the President, Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug. The Presidents of Davangere and Harihar Town Municipal Councils have been reminded in the matter On receipt of their replies a final report will be submitted without delay.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Try Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District
(Absent from station)

D.C.4]

No. L.Dis.1961-27-28. OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT

Encs *Dated Chikmagalur*, 31st March *19 28*

FROM

H V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General Department, *Bangalore.*

Sir,

Re:-Adoption of uniform Schedule of Toll leviable in Municipal areas.

With reference to your letter No.35&4-91/Ml. 81-27-59 dated 5th January 192&, re: the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of toll leviable in the Municipal areas, I have the honor to state that the Town Municipal Councils of Chikmagalur, Tarikere and Birur have reported that as there are no toll-gates in the municipal limits no toll is being levied in their respective Municipalities.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H C Ramaswami

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

L 59341 P

Tel. Address —Deposom
CHITALDRUG

In reply please refer t
No A.C.L. 559/27-28
Enclosures (3)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 192
21st May 1928

81-27-109

FROM

Deputy Commissioner
The *Chitaldrug District*

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 3884-91/M1 81-27-59 dated 5th January 1928 requesting me to furnish my opinion in consultation with the Town Municipal Councils re the adoption of a uniform schedule of maximum rates of toll leviable in Municipal areas, I have the honor to state that all the three Town Municipal Councils viz Chitaldrug, Davangere and Harihar in this District are agreeable to adopt the uniform rates of toll as provided in the schedule appended to Government order dated 9th June 1927 under reference in lieu of those of Schedule II of the Municipal Regulation The Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug is however of opinion that the rates provided for the first four items in the schedule appended to Government order dated 9th June 1927 may be reduced by half as the rates proposed are too heavy Copie of the resolutions of the Municipal Councils together with a copy of letter No 150/27-28 dated 18th April 1928 from the President, Davangere Town Municipal Council are herein enclosed

As there is provision for compounding of tolls in the said Government order, it is a point for consideration whether any necessity for reducing the rates as proposed by the Town Municipal Council, Chitaldrug does at all arise I think that the uniform rates as provided in the schedule under the said Government order may be adopted.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant

D Srinivasa Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

Letter No 150/27-28 da 18th April 1928 from the President Municipal Council Davangere to the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug

With reference to your memo No A-6 C 559/27- 8 dated 8th January 1928 forwarding copy of letter No L 3884-91/11 81- 7-59 dated 5th January 1928 from the Chief Secretary to Government calling for opinion of this Municipal Council in the matter of adopting a uniform schedules of maximum rates of toll leviable in municipal areas I have the honor to state that the subject was considered by the Municipal council in their special meeting held on 24th March 1928 and they thought that if the revised schedule of the maximum rates of toll leviable on state and District Fund roads as sanctioned by Government in their order No L 8795-814/L B 49-25-28 dated 9th June 1927 were to be adopted in the Municipal Toll gates also the amendment of schedules 11 of the Municipal Regulation was necessary in the first instance

Consequently they unanimously resolved that the maximum rates of toll allowed by the Municipal Regulation be adopted from the year 1928-29 in this municipality and the schedule appended to the taxation rules be amended accordingly Copy of the resolution is enclosed for ready reference

Draft amendment has already been prepared and objections from the public have been called for. As soon as the period of one month is over the same will be submitted for obtaining sanction of Government therefor Notification for the sale of right of collecting tolls in this municipality during the year 1928-29 has also been sent to the Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication embodying the maximum rates of toll as allowed at present by the Municipal Regulation Schedule 11

Under the above circumstances I request to be so good as to get the schedule 11 of the Municipal Regulation amended in conformity with the schedule appended to G O of the 9th June 1927 which is now applicable only for toll gates established by Govt and District Boards The Council have however no objection to adopt a uniform schedule of maximum rates of toll if it is made applicable legally to the Municipal toll gates also

****

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District

of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council
on.

P Mr Syed Ahmed
Ex-officio Members

P Mr Seth Hafeezulla
P C Subbarao
P Mr Ayyar
A 4th Member
P 5th Member
P 6th Member
A 7th Member
P 8th Member
A 9th Member

Subject :— Memo No. A G C 559/27-28 dated 8-1-28. from the Dy Commissioner Chitaldrug district, requesting to obtain the views of the Town Municipal Council for the revision of the schedule of maximum rates of toll provided in the Municipal Regulations as proposed in letter No. L 3884 -91 M L 81-27-29 dated 5-1-28 from the Chief Secretary to Govt to the Deputy-Commissioner Chitaldrug district.

Resolution

The rates proposed are too heavy. They may be reduced in the case of the 1st 2nd 3rd and 4th items by half.

(Sd) R Ramaiya Naidu
President

X X

Extract

Sd/- Mohd Sheriff
Pramukh
Chitaldrug

Proceedings of the Special Meeting
of the Davangere Town Municipal Coun
held on 24-3-1928

President-

S Abdul Khalak Esquire Sub division
officer present-

× × × × ×

3 Deputy Commissioners Memo no A6C 5599/27-28 dated 8th jan 1928 Calling for the resolution of the Municipal Council in the matter of levying tolls in this Municipality at per rates noted in the Schedule of Govt order no L 8795/814 L B 49-25-28 dated 9th June 1927

Resolved that-at-present the rates maximum given in the Schedule of the Municipal regulation be adopted from 1928-29 & needful action taken to amend the rules.

(Sd) S Abdul Khalak
24 3/28 President-

× × × × ×

Copy

Read and —
For president-
Davangere Municipality

No GT 1925
Encl

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL MYSORE

Dated 13th June 1928

FROM

T.G.RAMA IYER ESQ., B A ,
President City Municipal Council

MYSORE

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
BANGALORE

SIR

I have the honour to invite reference to this Office letter No G T 1478 dated 7th March 1928 forwarding Municipal Council's Resolution No 280 dated 25th February 1928 regarding the rates or tolls leviable in this Municipality and to request early orders of Government thereon

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT

Monthly meeting proceedings of Harihar town municipality held on 29th February 1928

× × × × ×

Subject –

[illegible]

Resolution. [illegible]

Sd/ Dr Venkatappa Setty
President
Harihar Municipality
29-2-28

Extract

President
31/3/28 Harihar Municipality

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District
Dated (camp) Davangere, 28th June 1928

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District,
C h i t a l d r u g

To

The General Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No A-6 [illegible] 550/27-28 dated 21st May 1928 communicating the views of the Town Municipal Councils in this District in the matter of adopting a uniform of schedule of maximum rates of toll in unicipal areas as per G O No dated 9th June 1927, I have the honor to state that the Town Municipal Councils of Davangere and arihar have adopted the maximum rates of toll on all items as provided in Schedule II of the Municipal Regulation VII of 1986 and also on those provided in the amended Municipal Regulation IV of 1926, as resolved by the respective Municipal Councils in their meetings held on 24-3-1928 and 29-2-1928 respectively, and They have also sold the right of collecting tolls for the year 1928-29 at the above rates publishing the Sale Notification in the Gazette I request that the action taken by these Councils may be approved

As regards the adoption of uniform Schedule of maximum rates prevailing on State and District Fund Roads, the Municipal Councils have been instructed to take necessary action after the present Schedule of rates provided in the Municipal Regulation are duly amended by Government

The Municipal Council, Harihar, have all along been levyi[illegible]

5

toll on

dated They propo[illegible] to continue the levy durin[illegible] the next official year also I have informed them that they will have to abide by any rules that may be passed by Government so as to exempt Through Traffic from Municipal Tolls, as contemplated in Para 8 of G O No R 8795-814 / L S 49 26-28 dated 9th June 1927

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Co[illegible]oner,
Chitaldrug District

No

L 9028 / MR 81-27-125 dated 23-6-28

56

To

The President,
City Muhicipal Council,
Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1925 dated 10th June 1928 regarding the rates of tolls leviable in the Mysore City Municipality, I am directed to state as follows --

The enquiry made in this office letter No L 3885 dated 5th January 1928 (in response to which the Municipal Council recorded its resolution No 280 on 25th February 1928) was only intended to ascertain whether it was possible to introduce uniform rates of toll in Mysore and Bangalore Cities The Bangalore City Municipality are not agreeable to this course If the Mysore City Municipal Council wish to adopt the revised rates now proposed, I am to suggest that the proposals may be resubmitted after observing the preliminary procedure laid down in Sec 60 of the Municipal Regulation

CBS 2/6

I have, etc

MR

Chief Secretary to Govt